

Planning Team Report

Planning Proposal to amend the non-residential floor space ratio range for 200-220 Pacific Highway, Crows Nest				
Proposal Title :	Planning Proposal to amend the non-residential floor space ratio range for 200-220 Pacific Highway, Crows Nest			
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks to amend the non-residential floor space ratio (FSR) range across 200-220 Pacific Highway, Crows Nest to facilitate an increase in residential uses on site.			
PP Number :	PP_2015_NORTH_001_00	Dop File No :	15/01140	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	06-Jan-2015	LGA covered :	North Sydney	
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	North Sydney Council	
State Electorate :	NORTH SHORE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : 200	-220 Pacific Highway			
Suburb : Cro	ws Nest City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2065	
Land Parcel :				
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Nava Sedghi			
Contact Number :	0285754117			
Contact Email :	nava.sedghi@planning.nsw.gov.au			
RPA Contact Detai	ils			
Contact Name :	Ben Boyd			
Contact Number :	0299368100			
Contact Email :	ben.boyd@northsydney.nsw.gov	v.au		
DoP Project Manag	DoP Project Manager Contact Details			
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				
Contact Email :				
Land Release Data	1			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro Inner North subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	

Highway, Crows Nest			
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	6
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment ;	The Department of Planning and E communication and meetings with has not met any lobbyists in relati any meetings between other Depa	n lobbyists has been complie on to this proposal, nor has	d with. Metropolitan (CBD) the Director been advised of
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	THE SITE		
	The site contains a 17 storey mixed use building, comprising ground floor retail, 6 serviced apartments on the first floor, 204 residential apartments and 140 car parking spaces. Majority of the residential component is occupied, however the serviced apartments on the first floor have been vacant since the building was completed.		
	The site is surrounded by a mix or medical facilities. North Sydney G		
	BACKGROUND		
	On 28 April 2014, Council consider sought to amend the minimum no report recommended amending th 0.24:1. This is equivalent to the lo the conversion of the existing 6 so Council did not resolve to make a time.	n-residential FSR standard fi ne proposed minimum non-re ss of non-residential floor sp erviced apartments to reside	rom 0.5:1 to 0.2:1. Council's esidential FSR standard to pace that would result from ntial accommodation.
	On 19 May 2014, the planning propart: 1) that a precinct based planning requirements of mixed use areas 2) that if the planning study meets Development Services in support proposal be forwarded for a Gatew range be amended to 0.24:1 - 2:1.	study that reviews the non-re in Crows Nest Town Centre b s the approval of Council's Di ing the planning proposal's c	esidential floor space be undertaken; and irector Planning and objectives, that the planning
	In accordance with Council's resc prepare a planning study of land i Environmental Plan 2013. On 12 N Nest South Planning Study.	n Zone B4 Mixed Use under	North Sydney Local

> On 2 December 2014, Council determined that the Crows Nest South Planning Study supports the objectives of the planning proposal. Council resolved to forwarded the planning proposal for a Gateway determination subject to: 1) the non-residential FSR range for the site be amended to 0.24:1 - 2:1; and 2) the planning proposal incorporates discussion with respect to the outcomes of the Crows Nest South Planning Study.

The subject planning proposal has been revised in accordance to the Council's resolution dated 2 December 2014.

DELEGATION OF PLAN MAKING FUNCTIONS

North Sydney Council is seeking delegation to carry out the Minister's functions under section 59 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) to progress this planning proposal. Council has provided Attachment 4 - Evaluation criteria for the delegation of plan making functions. Delegation is considered appropriate.

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The objective of the planning proposal is to reduce the minimum non-residential FSR standard applying to the site. The intended outcome is to enable residential use of the approved first floor serviced apartments constructed on site.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal seeks to amend the non-residential FSR range for the site from 0.5:1 - 2:1 to 0.24:1 - 2:1.

The proposal will amend North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 in the following ways:

 amend the Non-Residential FSR Range Map (Sheet LCL_001) to nominate the site as Area 14 and add Area 14 to the key; and

 amend clause 4.4A(2) - Non-residential FSR ranges by adding a minimum non-residential FSR standard of 0.24:1 and maximum non-residential FSR standard of 2:1 for Area 14 (refer to planning proposal).

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Director General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones
May need the Director General's agreement	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

```
d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?
```

SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land)

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Page 3 of 7

Have inconsistencies with	items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	SECTION 117 DIRECTION 1.1 - BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONES
	The planning proposal is inconsistent with this direction as it will reduce the level of floor space made available for employment purposes.
	The planning proposal is supported by the Crows Nest South Planning Study (2014) which concludes it is unlikely that serviced apartments provided onsite will be feasible. The study identifies that above-ground non-residential floorspace is not desirable in Crows Nest and that commercial properties with street frontages are more in demand. The site does not contain floor plates to support large businesses. Further, Crows Nest has relatively high commercial office vacancy rates in comparison with nearby North Sydney and Chatswood Major Centres and there is pressure to convert existing commercial floorspace into residential development.
	The Department supports the findings of the study and considers the inconsistency to be of minor significance and is adequately justified.
Mapping Provided - s	55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Ye	S
Comment :	The planning proposal contains existing and proposed mapping reflecting the intent of the amendment to North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013.
Community consultat	ion - s55(2)(e)
Has community consultat	ion been proposed? Yes
Comment :	A 14 day public consultation is proposed consistent with the Department's guidelines for low impact proposals. This is considered appropriate.
Additional Director G	eneral's requirements
Are there any additional I	Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy of t	he proposal
	he adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	
Proposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : August 2013	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 was notified on 2 August 2013.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	CROWS NEST SOUTH PLANNING STUDY (2014)
	The planning proposal is supported by the Crows Nest South Planning Study, prepared by SGS Economics and Planning in November 2014. The feasibility assessment found that the minimum non-residential FSR of 0.5:1, on the western side of Pacific Highway applying to the study area is generally feasible. However, in response to the site, it concludes:

	 there is little deman serviced apartments 	viced apartments within the developme d for commercial floor space at first flo s are most in demand in employment a rds and North Sydney); and	oor level;
	•	onsidered in isolation and not establis	h precedent for relaxing
		pace ratios in the study area as a who	
	within the developme Crows Nest area. Not	n analysis of other potential non-resident. The study identifies there is deman withstanding, due to the planning requ serviced apartments on site could be o	id for childcare centres in the irements for childcare centres
	A planning proposal outcomes of the stud	is deemed to be an appropriate mecha ly.	nism in addressing the
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is partially inconsistent with the draft Inner North Subregional Strategy (draft Inner North Strategy) and Council's strategic planning documents in that it will reduce employment potential generated from 6 serviced apartments, being 2 full time equivalent jobs. This loss is considered to be insignificant and justified by the Crows Nest Planning Study.		
	accommodate in the Sydney Residential D planned for sufficien	Strategy states an additional 5,500 dw North Sydney local government area (Development Strategy (2009) demonstr t zoned land to accommodate the addi t the minimum target, the planning pro tional dwellings.	LGA) by 2031. Council's North ates that Council has already tional 6,199 dwellings by 2031.
	routes that connect t	al will contribute to housing choice in o strategic centres, including North Sy consistent with the priority of the Nort	dney, St Leonards and the
		at the planning proposal be updated to Iney' prior to public exhibition.	o address consistency with 'A
Environmental social economic impacts :	It is not considered t associated with the p	here would be adverse environmental, Hanning proposal.	economic and social impacts
		al and planning study indicate the diffi or the small number of serviced apartr	
Assessment Proces	55		
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)			

initaj, erene neer	
Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?	Νο
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?	Yes
If no, provide reasons :	
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No	
If Yes, reasons :	
Identify any additional studies, if required.	
If Other, provide reasons :	
Identify any internal consultations, if required	:
No internal consultation required	
Is the provision and funding of state infrastruc	ture relevant to this plan? No
If Yes, reasons :	

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Council cover letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Planning Proposal 200-220 Pacific Hwy Crows Nest (Dec 2014).pdf	Proposal	Yes
Appendix A - Building A Level 1 Plan and Building A East Elevation.pdf	Drawing	Yes
Appendix B - Existing and proposed non-residential floor space ratio range map Sheet LCL_001.pdf	Мар	Yes
Appendix C - Crows Nest South Planning Study (Nov 2014).pdf	Study	Yes
Council report endorsed by the General Manager (2 Dec 2014).pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council report and resolution (19 May 2014).pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council report and resolution 28 April 2014.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachments to Council's report of 28 April 2014.pdf	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal is to be revised to demonstrate consistency with A Plan for Growing Sydney, released on 14 December 2014.
	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal is classified as low impact as described in A Guide to

Planning Proposal to a Highway, Crows Nest	mend the non-residential floor space ratio range for 200-220 Pacific	
	Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013) and must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).	
	3. Inconsistency with s117 Direction 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones is of minor significance and has been adequately justified.	
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).	
	6. A written authorisation to exercise delegation under section 59 of the EP&A Act is is is is is is is is is a section to the planning proposal.	
	7. The planning proposal is to be completed within 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.	
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported for the following reasons: • it is accompanied by a planning study that supports the proposal; • it is unlikely to have significant impact on the overall supply of non-residential floor space in the study area; and • Council proposes to progress the planning proposal under delegation. The matter is considered to be of local significance and the use of council's delegation is supported.	
Signature:	Uhre	
Printed Name:	Lee McCourt Date: 9.01.15	